DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY SERVICES AND DEVELOPMENT
P.O. Box 1947
Sacramento, CA 95812-1947
(916) 576-7109
(916) 263-1406 (FAX)
(916) 263-1402 (TDD)

May 10, 2011

To: CSBG Contractors

From: Leslie Taylor, Manager
Program Development and Technical Support

Subject: 2009 Community Services Block Grant Information System (CSBG/IS) Survey

CSD would like to express our gratitude to CSBG Eligible Entities for Participating in the 2009 CSBG/IS Survey.

Accompanying this letter is the completed 2009 California Community Services Block Grant Information System (CSBG/IS) Survey which was submitted to the National Association for State Community Services Programs (NASCSP) on March 30, 2010. The aggregated information was reported in the 2009 National CSBG/IS Statistical Report prepared by NASCSP. The national report was then forwarded to OCS and Congress to illustrate the impact and outcomes of the CSBG Network and its programs throughout the United States.

The survey includes the items listed below for CSBG and CSBG ARRA:

Part I

- Section A – State Allocations of FY 2009 CSBG Funds
- Section B – General Information on the California CSBG Network and Distribution of Funds
- Section C – General Information on State CSBG Administration
- Section D – Program and Management Accomplishments (CSD 090)
- Section E – CSBG Expenditures by Service Category & Demographics (CSD 425.OF)
- Section F – Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network (CSD 425.OR)
- Section G – Client Characteristic (CSD 295)

Part II

- Outcomes of Efforts – National Performance Indicators (CSD 801)

Attachments

- Attachment A – List of Eligible Entities
- Attachment B – Additional National Performance Indicators

The CSBG/IS contains valuable information regarding the accomplishments of the CSBG Network in 2009. CSD encourages each agency to utilize the data in local reports to promote the value of the CSBG Programs.

Should you have any questions concerning this report, please contact Leslie Taylor at (916) 576-7192.

Enclosures

Section A

State Allocations of FY 2009 CSBG Funds

Part I: Section A. State Use of CSBG Funds

1. State Reporting Period (month/day/year)

From: 1/1/2009 to: 12/31/2009 (365 days)

State Reporting: California

2. Total CSBG funds expended in FY 2009 for:

	Planned	Actual	ARRA Planned	ARRA Actual
a. Eligible Entities	$55,837,141	$55,837,141	$89,105,062	$16,350,802
b. State Administrative Costs *	$3,102,063	$3,102,063	$0	$0
* ARRA ONLY: Report Planned and Actual Funds spent on Benefits Enrollment Coordination Activities				
c. Discretionary Projects	$3,102,063	$1,300,547		
d. Total Funds	$62,041,267	$60,239,751	$89,150,062	$16,350,802
	$0	$0	($45,000)	$0

3. Of the total in 2d., how much represents carryover funding from the previous fiscal year?	3.	$0	
4. Carry-forward of FY 2009 funds to FY 2010 programs	4.	$1,801,516	$0
5. State CSBG funds if any (see instructions)	5.	$0	
6. TOTAL Federal and State CSBG funds in FY 2009 (add item 2d Actual + item 5)	6.	$60,239,751	$16,350,802

Section B

General Information on the California CSBG Network & Distribution of Funds

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

State Reporting: California

1. Eligible entities receiving FY 2009 funds:

(Please attach the provided Excel Spreadsheet for eligible entities, their addresses, and their award amounts.)

a. Number of Community Action Agencies (CAAs) among eligible entities	54
b. Number of Limited Purpose Agencies (LPAs) among eligible entities	4
c. Number of organizations serving migrant or seasonal farmworkers	5
d. number of these also counted in a or b	3
e. Number of tribal organizations	3
f. number of these also counted in a, b or c	0
g. Number of units of local government	24
h. number of these also counted in a, b, c or e	24
i. Others designated eligible by statute	0
j. number of these also counted in a, b ,c, e or g	0
k Total unduplicated number of eligible entities	63

2. Were previously funded eligible entities dropped in FY 2009? Yes ○ No ◉

(If yes, indicate number and reason.)

Number:

Reason:

3.State allocation method:

(Please select the method that best describes the current practice for allocations within the state.)

○ Historic

◉ Base + Formula

○ Formula Alone

○ Formula with variables

○ Hold Harmless + Formula

○ Other (please specify):

Part I: Section B. General Information on Local CSBG Agencies

4. Coverage of counties

a. Percent of state's counties receiving CSBG services at year end from local CSBG operators: 100 %

b. Number of counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2009 (if any): 0

c. Please list counties newly receiving CSBG services in FY 2009:

5. Uses of Discretionary Project Funds (if listed in Section A, Item 2.c)

a. What types of organizations received the awards?

1. Indian Tribes or tribal organizations	1.	$0
2. Migrant or farmworker organizations	2.	$0
3. State subgrantee associations	3.	$587,801
4. Eligible entities	4.	$279,985
5. Other (please specify below): Limited Purpose Agencies (LPA)	5.	$432,761
Total Discretionary Funds Expended	a.	$1,300,547

b. For what purposes were the awards given?

1. Awards to local agencies for expansion to new areas	1.	$144,985
2. Grants for exemplary or demonstration programs	2.	$0
3. Competitive grants for exemplary or demonstration programs	3.	$0
4. Training or technical assistance for local agencies	4.	$50,000
5 Statewide Programs	5.	$203,899
6. General support	6.	$468,902
7. Other (please specify below): Limited Purpose Agencies	7.	$432,761
Total Discretionary Funds Expended	b.	$1,300,547

The totals of a and b should match both each other and Item 2.c in Section A.

Section C

General Information on State CSBG Administration

Section C: General Information on State CSBG Office

State Reporting: California

This list box has only states selected in Section A.

1. Please identify the cabinet or administrative department of your state CSBG office.

- (●) Community Services Department
- ( ) Human Services Department
- ( ) Social Services Department
- ( ) Governor's Office
- ( ) Community Affairs Department
- ( ) Other (please specify):

2. What is the division, bureau, or office of the CSBG Administrator?

California Department of Community Services and Development

3. Other programs directed by the CSBG Administrator in FY 2009:

a. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct Community Food and Nutrition Programs? Yes ( ) No (●)

b. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct DOE Weatherization? Yes (●) No ( )

c. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct part or all of the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) and/or crisis assistance programs? Yes (●) No ( )

1) If yes, does the CSBG Administrator also direct the LIHEAP energy conservation program? Yes (●) No ( )

d. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct USDA programs? If yes, please list titles. Yes ( ) No (●)

e. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct HUD programs? If yes, please list below: Yes (●) No ( )

Lead Hazard Control Grant

f. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct any other federal programs for the homeless? Yes ( ) No (●)

g. Does the CSBG Administrator also direct state Head Start programs? Yes ( ) No (●)

h. How many other programs are also directed by the CSBG Administrator? (List titles of other programs below):

4. **Was the state CSBG office subject to a reorganization in FY 2009, such as an expansion or contraction of programs, or a transfer of the CSBG office to a different division or department?** Yes ○ No ◉

If yes, please describe change (attach extra page if necessary):

5. **State statute regarding CSBG:**

a. Does your state have a statute authorizing Community Services programs? (If yes, please attach.) Yes ◉ No ○

b. Did your state legislature enact authorizing legislation, or amendments to an existing authorizing statute during FY 2009? Yes ○ No ◉

Please check those items which describe provisions of the current statute

1) What is the termination date of the current statute? **(mm/dd/yy)**

2) Does it "grandfather" CAAs? Yes ◉ No ○

3) Does it specify the terms, or formula, for alloting 90% pass-through funds among eligible entities? Yes ◉ No ○

4) Does it require local grantees to match CSBG funds? Yes ○ No ◉

5) Does it provide for the designation of new eligible entities? Yes ◉ No ○

6) Does it provide for the de-designation of eligible entities? Yes ◉ No ○

7) Does it specify a process the state CSBG agency must follow to re-designate an existing eligible entity? Yes ◉ No ○

8) Does it designate the bureau, division, or office in state government that is to be the state administering agency? Yes ◉ No ○

9 If it has other provisions please list them:

6. a. Did it cost more in FY 2009 than the federally allowed limit in your state's CSBG allocation for your state to effectively administer the range of services and activities required by the CSBG Act? Yes ○ No ◉

b. If yes, what was the amount of these extra costs?

c. If yes, were state funds used to supplement federal administrative expenditures? Yes ○ No ◉

d. If yes, what was the amount of the supplemental state funds?

7. a. How many state positions were funded in whole or in part by CSBG funds? 67

b. How many Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) were funded with CSBG funds? 13

Section D

Program and Management Accomplishments

Section D: Accomplishments and Coordination of Funds Questions 1-3

Please do NOT use acronyms.
See instructions for further details.

State Reporting: California

1. Strategic Thinking for Long-Term Solutions

a. Please describe an agency strategy which addresses a long-term solution to a persistent problem affecting members of the low-income community. Address the following questions:

Agency Name: Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA)

i. How did the agency identify the community need?

Sacramento Employment and Training Agency's (SETA) strategy addresses a persistent problem affecting homeless, pregnant and parenting teens and the low-income community with a long-term solution. Through CSBG subcontractor, Waking the Village, teens receiving public assistance begin their path to self-sufficiency by their disenrollment from the CalWORKs program. With a staff-to-client ratio of 1-3, staff is able to work closely with clients to establish new habits such as regular wake-up times, bed times and meal times for themselves and their children. The learning and practicing of parenting skills and child development activities is ongoing for residents.

ii. How were CSBG funds used to plan, manage, and/or develop the approach?

Sacramento Employment and Training Agency (SETA), recognizing the powerful outcome potential from this anti-poverty strategy and the role it could play in helping implement the SETA Community Action Plan, funded the physical site for two years until alternate site funding was secured. SETA continues to fund the case management and necessary after-care support of the program's residents and graduates.

iii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Local partners include another Sacramento Employment and Training Agency's (SETA) entity, the Early Head Start program, which provides weekly on-site visits to each resident and stays connected after program exit linking them to the broader array of Head Start services.

The Jesuit Volunteer Corps assigns one college graduate to work full-time on site as a youth development co-director.

Pregnant residents are connected to the Nurse Family Partnership and a public health nurse in their second trimester for bi-weekly visits to

iv. What outcome indicators did the agency use to measure success?

Outcome indicators include whether families have exited the program to stable housing, whether they have cleared up any debts, warrants and/or fines, whether they have earned savings of at least $1,500 and whether they are employed or attending school full time.

v. What outcomes have resulted in FY 2009? If no outcomes yet, when?

Thirty-two young parents and infants participated in the program in 2009 with the help of CSBG funding and 48 more parents and their children who were previously exited from the program received assistance with emergencies brought about by the economic downturn as a result of CSBG ARRA funds allocated to this project.

2. Delivering High-Quality, Accessible, and Well-Managed Services

a. Please describe what you consider to be the top management accomplishment achieved by your State CSBG office during FY 2009. Show how responsible, informed leadership led to effective and efficient management of the CSBG program.

Top State Management Accomplishment:

In 2009, President Barack Obama enacted the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) to stimulate the economy and support job creation. This legislation provided an unprecedented increase to the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) -- $1 billion. California received $89.2 million-a significant supplemental increase to the CSBG Program- to meet the needs of low income families throughout the 58 diverse counties. The ARRA mandated that 99% of the funds be distributed directly to the CSBG Eligible entities and states retain 1% of its allocation for benefit enrollment and coordination activities leaving no dollars to support the administrative cost. At the same time California was facing its highest budget deficit, double digit unemployment rates, and three furlough days per month

b. Please describe what you consider to be the top three management accomplishments achieved by your agencies during FY 2009. Show how responsible, informed leadership and effective, efficient processes led to high-quality, accessible, and well-managed services.

Top Three Agency Management Accomplishments:

1. Agency Name: Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County (CAPSBC)

Accomplishment:

Fundraising Efforts to Increase Discretionary Funds
CAP San Bernardino County (CAPSBC) developed a fundraising plan to generate discretionary funds to increase program sustainability. The most recent project consisted of an Annual Fundraiser Gala event held on September 10, 2009. This event honored individuals who have supported CAPSBC in our 45 year fight against poverty. The proceeds raised totaled $29,000. A total of approximately 300 participants

2. Agency Name: Community Action Partnership of Kern (CAP of Kern)

Accomplishment:

Development of a Disaster Preparedness Plan
Lake Isabella dam is located in Kern County and is considered to be one of the highest risk dams in the country. In many disasters low-income people are the least prepared to respond and are most severely impacted by the disaster. In keeping with our mission to provide and advocate for resources to help low-income people become self-sufficient we applied for and received a grant from the Department of

3. Agency Name: Community Action Partnership of Madera

Accomplishment:

Improved Food Distribution
Several community organizations realized the program inefficiencies in emergency food distribution for Madera County and decided to form the Feed Madera Coalition coordinated and chaired by the Executive Director of CAP of Madera County and the Executive Director of the Madera County Food Bank. The Coalition addressed issues like the location of food distributions, referrals, irregular business hours,

3. Mobilizing Resources to Support Innovative Solutions

a Please describe how your agency addressed a cause or condition of poverty in the community using an innovative or creative approach. Showcase how your agency relied on mobilization and coordination of resources to help reach interim and final outcomes. Demonstrate how CSBG "works" as it funds staff activities, investments, or services to meet a community need. Include the following elements:

i. Agency Name: City of Oakland, Department of Human Services, Oakland Community Action Partnership (OCAP)

ii. Program Name The Oakland Legal Safety-Net Program

iii. CSBG Service Category: Legal Assistance

iv. Description of program (capacity, duration, targeted population, etc):

Bay Area Legal Aid's (BayLegal's) Oakland Legal Safety Net Project provides free, high-quality civil legal assistance to low-income residents facing myriad barriers to self-sufficiency. BayLegal focuses its resources on four priority areas of law: housing, domestic violence, economic benefits, and healthcare access. Services provided include referrals, advice and counsel, brief legal services, and full legal representation. Clients receive services that help them avoid homelessness by preserving affordable housing and housing subsidies, stopping illegal evictions, stopping illegal housing discrimination, preventing involuntary moves & illegal rent increases. Services also include helping clients

v. How was the agency's approach innovative or creative? Please be specific:

By providing free legal services to low-income people facing foreclosure, unfair denial of benefits, domestic violence and other civil barriers to self-sufficiency, Bay Area's Legal Safety Net project not only ensures clients rights are protected and enforced, but also prevents a significant number of Oakland residents from becoming homeless and sinking further into abject poverty.

vi. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected):

The Oakland Legal Safety-Net Program served 956 clients between January 1 and December 31, 2009, representing an increase of 62% of the projected goal of serving 590 clients in 2009. Out of the 956 clients served in 2009, 683 received advice and counsel, 115 received brief legal services and 158 received full legal representation. Out of the 158 clients who received full legal representation, 95% of the cases had favorable outcomes.

vii. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific:

$50,000 in CSBG funds were used to leverage $321,898 for the Legal Safety Net Program by offsetting costs for five Attorney salaries, legal support staff, equipment rental and maintenance, facility rental, General office supplies and telephone/internet communications.

viii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?:

BayLegal coordinates services and collaborates with numerous community organizations and government agencies to leverage resources, create a strong safety net of services, and avoid service duplication. In 2009, BayLegal continued to receive funding from the Alameda Social Services Agency to assist eligible CalWORKs recipients to successfully transition to SSI benefits and secured a new $65,000 contract with the Alameda Social Services Agency to assist General Assistance recipients to transition to SSI benefits in 2010.

Section D: Accomplishments and Coordination of Funds Question 4-5

Please do NOT use acronyms. **See instructions for further details.** **State Reporting:** California

4. Providing Positive Results for Vulnerable Populations

a. Please describe one youth-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Redwood Community Action Agency (RCAA)

i. Description of Initiative

The Straight Up AmeriCorps program of Redwood Community Action Agency serves K-12 youth in the school with the greatest number of children qualifying for free or reduced cost lunch programs as well as youth served through the Homeless Education Project and residing in transitional living programs. AmeriCorps members provide mentoring and tutoring for students during the school day and during after school hours. During 2009 AmeriCorps members also conducted health and wellness activities for

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Over 15 local schools and youth service organizations serve as host sites for AmeriCorps members. The partners provide on-site supervision and other in-kind support for members. Partners also provide cash resources to support each member and the program as a whole; this local match ranges from $4,000- $24,000.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

Number of volunteer hours: 2,891
41 AmeriCorps members earned savings totaling $174,829 to help pay off student loans or pay for future post secondary education.
108 children and youth improve physical health
106 youth improve academic performance

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

The Straight Up AmeriCorps program is supported by CSBG Funding which supports the core administrative structure of Redwood Community Action Agency, including supervision of program management and fiscal and payroll for over 50 AmeriCorps members and staff each year. Without this CSBG funding it would be difficult, if not impossible, to leverage the operational funding needed to sustain these programs.

b. Please describe one senior-focused initiative that illustrates how CSBG funding was used and coordinated with other programs and resources. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services (LA County DPSS)

i. Description of Initiative

One of Los Angeles County Department of Public Social Services partnering agencies, St. Joseph Center, provides services to vulnerable low-income older adults whose needs range from occasional support to more comprehensive, ongoing management.

One of St. Joseph Center services is "Housed Seniors" program which assists older adults lacking family support, often vulnerable to

ii. What local partners were involved, and how did each contribute to the program?

Edelman Community Mental Health's psychiatric team provides on site services to St. Joseph's clients. The clients are more willing to participate in mental health services because of the familiar environment. They seem to have lessened resistance and stigma attached to treatment and more compliant and interested in receiving Mental Health services.

iii. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

There are a total of 122 seniors enrolled in the program. Through CSBG funding, St. Joseph Center has been able to assist 17 older adults, at high risk of homelessness, move into or maintain stable housing and improve the quality of their lives.

iv. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funding has been allocated to staff salaries and benefits.

5. Impact of ARRA CSBG Funds

a. Please describe how one agency program, funded at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds, created or saved jobs in your community. Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Community Action Partnership of Orange County (CAPOC)

i. Number of jobs created and/or saved 13

ii. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific:

Number of jobs created and/or saved: ARRA funds were used to strengthen the Community Action Partnership's capacity to respond to the increase demand for services as the result of the downturn in the economy. As of December 30, 2009, the agency hired 13 newly created positions to support the increase in demand for services due to the economic crisis and to provide administrative support for the implementation of ARRA Programs

iii. If applicable, how were regularly appropriated CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

Not Applicable

iv. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the programs?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL): 38 2. 126% to 200% of FPL 62

v. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds. Include:

1. The role of partners or collaborations:

To strengthen the community capacity, create jobs and save jobs from elimination.

2.Type of resources contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc)

As an in-kind donation, partners and collaborators provided administrative and operational support.

vi. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

Yes, community organizations that testified at the Public Hearing for the 2010-11 Community Action Plan expressed concern regarding their capacity to met increased community needs as funding and resources diminish. Community organizations requested technical assistance and capacity building. ARRA CSBG funds enable the agency to respond to this request

b. Please describe one major agency initiative supported at least in part by ARRA CSBG funds (other than the initiative listed in "5.a", above). Include the following elements:

Agency Name: Sacred Heart Community Service (SHCS)

i. Was this a new initiative or the expansion of a previously offered program/service?

In 2009 Sacred Heart Community Service utilized ARRA CSBG funds to expand its community involvement initiative – a program that incorporates community engagement, community education, and volunteerism. The community involvement

ii. Which factor(s) allowed for the creation or expansion of these services? (Check all that apply)

1. Increased Funding ☑ 3. Operational Changes ☐
2. Expanded Income Eligibility ☐ 4. Other ☐

Please explain other:

iii.Regarding regularly appropriated CSBG funds: 1.How much CSBG was used to support this initiative? $49,398

2. How were CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to pay for program staff, including salaries, payroll taxes, and benefits.

iv. Regarding ARRA CSBG funds: 1.How much ARRA CSBG was used to support this initiative? $29,228

2. How were ARRA CSBG funds used? Please be specific.

CSBG funds were used to pay for program staff, including salaries, payroll taxes, and benefits.

v. Did this initiative primarily impact (check all that apply):

1.Infants and children (0-11 years) ☐ 2. Youth (12-18 years) ☐ 5.Entire Community ☑

3. Adults (18-54 years) ☐ 4. Seniors (55+ years) ☐

Please explain if this affected the entire community:

SHCS' community involvement initiative recruits participants of all ages and socioeconomic backgrounds. The volunteer support provided by the initiative supports all SHCS services, which serve low-income community members of all ages.

vi. What percent of participants had incomes in the following ranges when they enrolled in the programs?

1. 0% to 125% of Federal Poverty Line (FPL): 60 2. 126% to 200% of FPL 40

vii. Describe the community improvement created or supported using ARRA CSBG funds. Include

1. The role of partners or collaborations:

Sacred Heart Community Service works closely with a number of community partners on the community involvement initiative, including: the Step Up Silicon Valley network, which includes a range of public and private agencies fighting poverty (coordinates community awareness activities and collaborates to provide poverty simulations), companies, such as

2.Type of resources contributed by each partner (monetary, in-kind, services, etc)

Step Up Silicon Valley Network, which includes a range of public and private agencies fighting poverty (coordinates community awareness activities and collaborates to provide poverty simulations),

viii. Had the need addressed by this initiative been identified in previous community assessments or was it an emergent problem?

The need for greater community involvement in fighting poverty has been identified as a challenge and opportunity for many years. The recent economic challenges underscored the need for greater community involvement as local and state governments

ix. Outcomes achieved (include the number of people enrolled and areas affected)

The community involvement initiative has two primary outcomes:
1)Expanding the capacity of Sacred Heart Community Service to offer essential services and tools for economic self-sufficiency to thousands more people in need in Santa Clara County. In FY08-09 Sacred Heart Community Service benefited from 69,200 volunteer hours from approximately 5,000 community volunteers. Without this support SHCS could not come

Section E

CSBG Expenditures by Service Category & Demographics

Statewide Aggregated Data

Section E: CSBG Expenditures by Service Category

of Agencies reporting CSBG$: 63

Table 1: Total amount of CSBG funds expended in FY 2009 by Service Category

Service Category	# of Agencies	CSBG Funds	CSBG ARRA Funds
Employment	35	$9,895,177	$3,066,971.00
Education	41	$9,122,393	$606,621.00
Income Management	25	$1,976,958	$247,583.00
Housing	36	$3,231,326	$425,730.00
Emergency Services	49	$8,591,106	$416,530.00
Nutrition	35	$3,854,447	$248,085.00
Linkages	31	$7,026,670	$368,861.00
Self-Sufficiency	36	$7,685,990	$685,986.00
Health	29	$1,880,650	$197,420.00
Other	16	$4,071,366	$180,116.00

Total of CSBG Funds for Administration: $13,392,196

Total of CSBG ARRA Administration Funds $863,829

Table 2: Of the funding Listed in Table 1: Funds for Services by Demographic Category, FY 2009

Demographic Category	# of Agencies	CSBG Funds	CSBG ARRA Funds
Youth	46	$8,303,721	$931,060.00
Seniors	40	$4,881,312	$369,137.00

Section E

CSBG Expenditures by Service Category & Demographics

Listing of Local Agency Data

CSBG Funds spent in FY 2009 by Service Category

Agency	Employment	Education	Income Management	Housing	Emergency Services	Nutrition	Linkages	Self-Sufficiency	Health	Other
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	$20,535	$47,744	$30,000	$41,581	$18,003	$2,073	$29,313	$64,968		
Associated Community Action Program	$301,575	$153		$68,223	$83,346			$51,835		$66,094
Berkeley Community Action Agency					$259,646					
Calaveras/Mariposa Community Action Agency										
California Human Development Corp.	$291,060	$254,305		$245,815	$217,009			$192,580		$253,568
Campesinos Unidos, Inc.		$81,846	$69,745		$69,746	$69,745	$69,745			$235,113
Center for Employment Training	$1,867,927									
Central Valley Opportunity Center, Inc.	$415,199	$61,779	$24,724		$59,245		$347,371	$295,353		
City of Los Angeles, Community Development De		$1,424,613			$381,764	$70,215	$3,511,965	$1,793,412	$343,448	
City of Oaldand Department of Human Services	$121,433	$85,530	$41,431	$71,431	$96,431	$34,931	$170,836	$96,430		
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	$26,940	$26,940	$13,470	$80,819	$13,470	$98,450	$26,940	$67,349	$13,470	
Community Action Agency of San Mateo County	$77,077	$85,916		$154,586	$11,346	$2,962		$21,043	$29,509	
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County,	$85,429	$31,889		$24,856	$33,517	$12,615	$84,427		$3,154	
Community Action Commission of Santa Barbar	$7,480	$338,219			$36,391	$116,789		$12,717	$6,271	
Community Action Marin	$3,238	$161,414		$3,677	$40,219	$8,496	$26,073		$12,975	$1,643
Community Action of Napa	$6,222			$37,393	$50,907	$82,883		$66,497	$11,014	
Community Action of Ventura	$20,525	$20,800	$10,400	$114,000	$93,162	$40,800	$47,800	$269,448	$26,800	
Community Action Partnership of Kern		$540,119	$90,939		$63,783	$483,889	$23,722		$82,183	
Community Action Partnership of Madera Count	$3,253	$26,183		$61,742	$31,600	$3,279	$136,082			
Community Action Partnership of Orange Count	$302,157	$345,322	$172,661	$129,496	$431,653	$431,653	$561,149	$258,992	$86,330	
Community Action Partnership of Riverside Cou	$409,393	$611,193	$351,884		$280,417			$414,341		
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardin	$49,492	$74,237	$148,475	$123,729	$1,237,286		$98,983	$742,373		
Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obis		$131,568	$35,672	$12,764	$37,019			$56,455	$53,589	
Community Action Partnership of Solano	$97,500	$8,500	$12,500	$21,500	$8,000		$7,500	$138,955		
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma Count		$333,496	$106	$6,722	$31,536		$23,794	$46,303	$24,364	
Community Design Center										
Community Services and Employment Training,	$480,958	$86,320		$400			$89,486			$123,262
Contra Costa Employment & Human Services	$80,000	$359,455		$30,664	$25,000	$120,327		$9,000	$53,690	$156,347
County of Los Angeles Department of Public Soci	$292,488	$1,755,366		$792,509	$1,130,864	$364,015	$200,613		$398,693	$1,939,176
County of Placer Department of Healthe and Hu				$82,181	$80,104	$68,607	$6,262	$4,980	$10,911	$4,015
County of San Diego Health and Human Services	$540,658		$653,376		$435,782			$1,436,507	$113,000	
County of Yolo Department of Employment and				$98,000	$30,015	$55,000	$79,450	$17,400		
Del Norte Senior Center	$3,000	$6,500		$9,000	$32,000	$73,953		$7,500	$2,302	
Economic Opportunity Commission of San Franc	$813,511									
El Dorado County Department of Human Service										
Foothill Unity Center, Inc.	$22,500	$34,522		$4,500	$81,304	$162,608	$255,475			
Fresno Coutny Ecomomic Opportunity Commissi	$319,078	$462,528	$9,647		$450,039	$235,329	$32,521	$6,000	$17,261	
Glenn County Human Resource Agency	$78,025		$3,315	$40,543	$136,484	$2,040	$476,438	$12,564	$119,130	
Inyo/Mono Advocate for Community Action, Inc.	$16,134	$796	$3,348	$5,250	$194,232	$31,607	$2,040	$22,439		
Karuk Tribe of California		$2,000			$27,818			$2,685		
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.				$113,257	$14,315	$115,900		$2,354		$76,336
La Cooperativa Campesina de California										$14,729
Lake County Community Action Agency										$303,555
										$254,986

Agency	Employment	Education	Income Management	Housing	Emergency Services	Nutrition	Linkages	Self-Sufficiency	Health	Other
Lassen/Plumas?Sierra Community Action Agene		$43,608			$16,080	$115,992		$2,017	$7,017	$68,525
Long Beach Community Services Development C		$971,792								
Los Angeles City/County Native American Indian					$383,379					
Merced County Community Action Agency		$109,733	$16,802	$54,134	$34,797	$172,802	$18,757		$7,089	$9,000
Modoc-Siskiyou Community Actin Agency		$14,610	$5,000		$83,377	$83,061	$68,938			
Monterey County Community Action Partnershi		$73,585	$26,000		$340,868			$62,727		
Nevada County Department of Housing and Com	$2,125			$168,635	$27,802	$14,167			$40,906	
North Coast Opportunitiies	$25,668	$35,081	$12,834	$2,570	$22,247	$60,750	$60,751	$25,668	$48,771	
Northern California Indian Development Council	$681,425	$315,325	$102,070	$125,603	$343,261	$97,562	$167,953	$30,712	$97,167	
Proteus, Inc.	$2,229,462									
Redwood Community Action Agency										
Rural Community Assistance Corporation										$340,281
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency				$342,740	$469,873				$138,053	
Sacred Heart Community Service	$148,294	$30,775		$81,854		$126,916	$76,891	$782,575		
San Benito County Department of Community Se				$41,570	$193,335		$5,052	$479,956		$224,736
San Joaquin County Department of Aging, Child	$46,535	$79,594	$106,572	$7,329	$131,371	$365,062	$98,181	$12,629		
Shasta County Community Action Agency		$7,000				$28,000	$222,162		$77,461	
Sutter County Community Action Agency		$33,091	$16,016	$16,016	$38,462	$62,462			$5,000	
Tehama County Community Action Agency					$260,706			$59,038	$30,881	
Yuba County Community Services Commission	$8,881	$8,946	$19,971	$16,237	$22,095	$39,507		$120,188	$20,211	
Total	$9,895,177	$9,122,393	$1,976,958	$3,231,326	$8,591,106	$3,854,447	$7,026,670	$7,685,990	$1,880,650	$4,071,366
Count	35	41	25	36	49	35	31	36	29	16

TABLE 22-2

Agency Funds by Demographic Category

Agency	Youth	Seniors
Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	$21,000	$16,000
Associated Community Action Program	$74,667	$13,671
Berkeley Community Action Agency		
Calaveras/Mariposa Community Action Agency		
California Human Development Corp.	$10,115	
Campesinos Unidos, Inc.		$17,436
Center for Employment Training		
Central Valley Opportunity Center, Inc.	$43,279	
City of Los Angeles, Community Development Departmen	$2,547,574	
City of Oakland Department of Human Services	$107,147	$121,431
Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	$68,657	$32,412
Community Action Agency of San Mateo County	$67,560	$56,300
Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County, Inc.	$52,029	$1,261
Community Action Commission of Santa Barbara County	$75,808	$55,363
Community Action Marin	$4,844	$51,222
Community Action of Napa		
Community Action of Ventura	$78,150	$15,700
Community Action Partnership of Kern	$12,118	$9,046
Community Action Partnership of Madera County, Inc.	$51,399	
Community Action Partnership of Orange County	$83,064	$1,520,274
Community Action Partnership of Riverside County	$468,432	
Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	$470,169	$346,441
Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo Count	$77,189	$64,153
Community Action Partnership of Solano		
Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	$30,258	
Community Design Center		
Community Services and Employment Training, Inc.	$569,458	$40,676
Contra Costa Employment & Human Services	$50,000	
County of Los Angeles Department of Public Social Servic	$1,021,923	$672,787
County of Placer Department of Healthe and Human Servi	$4,000	$69,441
County of San Diego Health and Human Services Agency,	$123,712	
County of Yolo Department of Employment and Social Ser	$34,000	$18,000
Del Norte Senior Center	$44,302	$89,953
Economic Opportunity Commission of San Francisco		$316,739
El Dorado County Department of Human Services		
Foothill Unity Center, Inc.	$56,784	$38,050
Fresno Coutny Ecomomic Opportunity Commission	$490,617	$36,606
Glenn County Human Resource Agency	$2,000	$3,500
Inyo/Mono Advocate for Community Action, Inc.	$30,740	$42,681
Karuk Tribe of California	$4,668	$2,328
Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.	$2,354	
La Cooperativa Campesina de California		
Lake County Community Action Agency		
Lassen/Plumas?Sierra Community Action Agency	$25,387	$69,263
Long Beach Community Services Development Corp., Inc.	$226,809	$8,723
Los Angeles City/County Native American Indian Commis	$173,963	$173,963
Merced County Community Action Agency	$6,938	$83,763
Modoc-Siskiyou Community Actin Agency	$14,626	$82,971
Monterey County Community Action Partnership	$30,000	$15,000
Nevada County Department of Housing and Community	$14,697	$15,933
North Coast Opportunitiies	$51,337	$25,000
Northern California Indian Development Council, Inc.	$79,506	$27,704
Proteus, Inc.		
Redwood Community Action Agency		
Rural Community Assistance Corporation		
Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	$387,289	$300,201
Sacred Heart Community Service	$459,125	$33,036
San Benito County Department of Community Services an		
San Joaquin County Department of Aging, Children and C	$25,953	$277,884
Shasta County Community Action Agency	$7,000	$8,000

Agency	Youth	Seniors
Sutter County Community Action Agency	$23,074	$57,000
Tehama County Community Action Agency		
Yuba County Community Services Commission		$51,400
Total	$8,303,721	$4,881,312
Count	46	40

Section F

Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Statewide Aggregated Data

Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Subsection I. California

			ARRA ONLY
1. Number of Local Agencies Reporting:	1.	64	
2. Amount of FY 2009 CSBG allocated to reporting agency:	2.	$57,336,180	$6,464,505
3. Federal Resources (other than CSBG)			
a. Weatherization (DOE) (include oil overcharge $$)	a.	$2,498,663	$18,176,945
b. LIHEAP- Fuel Assistance (HHS) (include oil overcharge $$)	b.	$39,788,689	$0
c. LIHEAP- Weatherization (HHS) (include oil overcharge $$)	c.	$39,982,311	$0
d. Head Start (HHS)	d.	$155,304,311	$7,770,603
e. Early Head Start (HHS)	e.	$36,449,037	$2,710,626
f. Older Americans Act (HHS	f.	$5,387,830	$268,336
g. SSBG (HHS)	g.	$834,704	$0
h. Medicare/Medicaid (HHS)	h.	$19,345,999	$759,551
i. Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF)	i.	$443,841,017	$109,999,487
j. Child Care Development Block Grant (CCDBG	j.	$13,208,849	$23,861
k. Other HHS resources			
	i.	$5,070,116	$0
	ii.	$3,427,131	$0
	iii.	$738,232	$0
All Other	iv.	$273,546	$0
TOTAL HHS Other:	3k.	$9,509,025	$0
l. WIC (USDA	l.	$30,376,242	$0
m. All USDA Non-Food Programs (e.g. rural development)	m.	$11,052,397	$1,139,574
n. All Other USDA Food Programs	n.	$206,648,483	$3,293,046
o. CDBG - Federal, State, and Local	o.	$27,979,320	$8,410
p. Housing Programs (HUD):			
Section 8	i.	$12,719,644	$80,977
Section 202	ii.	$26,834	$0
Home Tenant Based Assistance	iii.	$0	$0
HOPE for homeowners Program (H4H)	iv.	$0	$0
Emergency Shelter Program (ESGP)	v.	$528,219	$701,813
Continuum of Care (CofC	vi.	$597,447	$0
q. All other HUD including homeless programs	q.	$9,479,961	$1,081,702
r. Employment and training programs (US DOL	r.	$77,788,713	$21,374,244
s. Other US DOL program	s.	$5,729,057	$3,153,014
t. Corporation for National Services (CNS) Programs	t.	$2,033,160	$503,002
u. FEMA	u.	$1,825,125	$350,208
v. Transportation (US DOT)	v.	$1,197,266	$0
w. Department of Education	w.	$20,321,752	$104,002
x. Department of Justic	x.	$1,916,465	$0
y. Department of Treasury	y.	$406,027	$73,171
z. Other Federal Sources (list in order of size)			
1)		$1,301,067	$1,071,791
2)		$36,934	$0
3)		$15,915	$0
4) All Other		$3,476	$0
TOTAL Federal Other:	3z.	$1,357,392	$0
TOTAL: NON-CSBG FEDERAL RESOURCES		$1,177,107,036	$180,384,082

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated by the CSBG Network

Subsection II. State Resources

a. State appropriated funds used for the same purpose as federal CSBG funds	a.	
Note: Verify with State Administrator that this figure matches state submission in Section A, Item 5		
b. State housing and homeless programs (include housing tax credits)	b.	$2,619,615
c. State nutrition programs	c.	$108,101,242
d. State day care and early childhood programs	d.	$64,535,224
e. State energy programs	e.	$2,296,231
f. State health programs	f.	$5,022,602
g. State youth development programs	g.	$746,016
h. State employment and training programs	h.	$7,893,251
i. State Head Start programs	i.	$1,777,816
j. State senior programs	j.	$655,920
K. State Transportation Programs	k.	$83,428
l. State Education Programs	l.	$10,988,709
m. State Community, Rural and Economic Development Programs	m.	$1,031,560
n. State Family Development Programs	n.	$4,067,989

o. Other State Sources (list in order of size):**	Funding Amounts		Total Funding Amount, State Other
i)	$3,407,088		
ii)	$619,214		
iii)	$35,408		
iv)	$39,338	o.	$4,101,048

The Other State Sources summary report pick up the first name in the database and sum all of the funds in each line. This is not a reflection of what was actually funded by name. Retrieve this information in the detail reports.

TOTAL: STATE RESOURCES	$213,920,651
If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I (Federal Resources), please estimate the amount.	$102,560

Part I: Section F: Other Resources Administered and Generated

Subsection III. Local Resources

a. Amount of unrestricted funds appropriated by local government	a.	$55,948,601
b. Amount of restricted funds appropriated by local government	b.	$10,222,661
c. Value of Contract Services.	c.	$8,108,950
d. Value of in-kind goods/services received from local government.	d	$1,197,400

TOTAL: LOCAL PUBLIC RESOURCE	$75,477,612
If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I or II, please estimate the amount	

Subsection IV. Private Sector Resources

a. Funds from foundations, corporations, United Way, other non-profits	a.	$14,154,774
b. Other donated funds	b.	$11,699,311
c. Value of other donated items, food clothing, furniture, etc	c.	$37,406,674
d. Value of in-kind services received from businesses	d.	$4,524,299
e. Fees paid by clients for services	e.	$10,117,632
Number of agencies responding to this item	22	
f. Payments by private entities for goods or services for low-income clients or communities	f.	$21,082,832
Number of agencies responding to this item	17	

TOTAL: PRIVATE SECTOR RESOURCE	$98,985,522
If any of these resources were also reported under Subsection I, II or III, please estimate the amount.	

		Total for ARRA
OTAL: ALL OTHER RESOURCES (FEDERAL, STATE, LOCAL, PRIVATE) less amount of double count in SubsectionII, III, IV	$1,565,388,261	$180,384,082

Section G

Client Characteristics

Statewide Aggregated Data

Part I: Section G: Participants Characteristics

1. Number of Agencies Reporting 60

		ARRA Only
2a. Total Non CSBG resources Reported in Section F, page 12	$1,555,657,636	$184,775,640
2b. Total amount of CSBG allocated	$55,738,390	$11,482,967
Total Resources for FY 2009 (2a + 2b)	$1,611,396,026	$196,258,607

3. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom one or more characteristics were obtained	3. 1,832,250
4. Total unduplicated number of persons about whom no characteristics were obtained	4. 3,441,142
5. Total unduplicated number of families about whom one or more characteristics were ob	7,645
6. Total unduplicated number of families about whom no characteristics were obtained	5,492

7. Gender

		NUMBER OF PERSONS*
a.	Male	874,894
b.	Female	897,220
TOTAL*		1,772,114

8. Age

		NUMBER OF PERSONS*
a.	0-5	183,485
b.	6-11	65,341
c.	12-17	76,671
d.	18-23	76,167
e.	24-44	199,939
f.	45-54	85,357
g.	55-69	78,405
h.	70+	61,039
TOTAL*		826,404

9. Ethnicity/Race

		NUMBER OF PERSONS*
I. Ethnicity		
a.	Hispanic or Latino	443,462
b.	Not Hispanic or Latino	358,148
TOTAL*		801,610
II. Race		
a.	White	323,538
b.	Black African Americ	68,954
c.	American Indian and Alaska Native	21052
d.	Asian	54611
e.	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	2,408
f	Other	94,316
g	Multi-race (any 2 or more of the above)	84,354
TOTAL*		649,233

10. Education level of adults

		Number of Persons 24 and Older**
a.	0-8	67,812
b.	9-12/non-graduates	76,452
c.	high school grad/GED	99,491
d.	12+ some post secondary	37,548
e.	2 or 4 yr college graduates	25,980
TOTAL**		307,283

11. Other Characteristics

		NUMBER OF PERSONS*		
		Yes	No	Total
a.	Health Insurance	309,308	133,178	442,486
b.	Disabled	72,431	378,048	450,479

12. Family Type

		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***			
a.	Single parent/female	78,173	d.	Single person	105,655
b.	Single parent/male	15,757	e.	Two adults/no children	129,181
c.	Two- parent household	129,181	f.	Other	24,621

TOTAL*** 482,568

13. Family Size

527 3392

		,***
a.	One	
b.	Two	
c.	Three	
d.	Four	
e.	Five	
f.	Six	20,044
g.	Seven	13,804
h.	Eight or more	11,330
TOTAL***		424,453

14. Source of Family Income

		NUMBER OF FAMILIES
a.	Unduplicated # of Families Reporting One or More Sources of Income***	319,268
b.	Unduplicated # of Families Reporting No Income***	56,398
	TOTAL***	375,666
c.	TANF	63,930
d.	SSI	66,635
e.	Social Security	61,001
f.	Pension	6,908
g.	General Assistance	16,001
h	Unemployment Insurance	24,087
i.	Employment + other sources	25,779
j.	Employment only	75,909
k.	Other	29,193

15. Level of Family Income (% of HHS Guideline)

		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***
a.	Up to 50%	88,227
b.	51% to 75	55,965
c.	76% to 100	50,121
d.	101% to 125	24,853
e.	126% to 150	36,533
f.	151% to 175	31,240
e.	176% to 200	585
h.	201% and over	214
TOTAL***		287,738

16. Housing

		NUMBER OF FAMILIES***
a.	Own	51,072
b.	Rent	201,119
c.	Homeless	27,748
d.	Other	18,369
TOTAL***		298,308

Outcome of Efforts

National Performance Indicators

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.1

Employment

The number and percentage of low-income participants in community action employment initiatives who get a job or become self-employed as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
A. Unemployed and obtained a job	48	24542	13715	13690	99.8%
B. Employed and maintained a job for at least 90 days	27	2747	1212	1271	104.9%
C. Employed and obtained an increase in employment income and/or benefits	31	5146	3172	3202	100.9%
D. Achieved "living wage" employment and/or benefits	22	5631	3053	3175	50.0%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.2

Employment Supports

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from community action as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Obtained skills/competencies required for employment	47	21233	13853
B. Completed ABE/GED and received certificate or diploma	28	9383	4408
C. Completed post-secondary education program and obtained certificate or diploma	22	1947	967
D. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	28	6080	5117
E. Obtained care for child or other dependant	35	17739	15593
F. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	36	16185	12790
G. Obtained health care services for themselves or a family member	32	14318	10712
H. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	36	8081	6015
I. Obtained food assistance	33	34612	29882
J. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance	4	4445	4445
K. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance			

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.3

Economic Asset Enhancement and Utilization

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of community action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits or Savings)
Enhancement 1. Number and percent of participants in tax preparation programs who qualified for any type of Federal or State tax credit and the expected aggregated dollar amount of credits	32	40350	24462	24789	101.3%	$29,245,914.00
Enhancement 2. Number and percentage of participants who obtained court-ordered child support payments and the expected annual aggregated dollar amount of payments	10	206	177	141	79.7%	$26,689.00
Enhancement 3. Number and percentage of participants enrolled in telephone lifeline and/or energy discounts with the assistance of the agency and the expected aggregated dollar amount of savings	32	54134	43240	50748	117.4%	$18,153,791.00
Utilization 1. Number and percent or participants demonstrating ability to complete and maintain a budget for over 90 days	26	9985	9073	9135	100.7%	
Utilization 2. Number and percent of participants opening an Individual Development Account (IDA) or other savings account	14	703	546	594	108.8%	
Utilization 3. Number and percent of participants who increased their savings through IDA or other savings accounts and the aggregated	8	513	391	463	118.4%	$110,483.00
Utilization 4a. Number and percent of participants capitalizing a small business with accumulated savings	10	134	98	83	84.7%	$136,347.00
Utilization 4b. Number and percent of participants pursuing post-secondary education with accumulated savings	10	214	92	89	96.7%	$246,985.00
Utilization 4c. Number and percent of participants purchasing a home with accumulated savings	8	163	46	28	60.9%	$93,452.00
Utilization 4d. Number and percent of participants purchasing other assets with accumulated savings	1	2	2	2	100.0%	

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.1

Community Improvement and Revitalization

Increase in, or safeguarding of, threatened opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of community action projects/ initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)	Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in the community	14	117	171
B. Accessible "living wage" jobs created, or saved, from reduction or elimination in	16	1075	1167
C. Safe and affordable housing units created in the community	26	256	6949
D. Safe and affordable housing units in the community preserved or improved through construction, weatherization or rehabilitation achieved by community	26	10168	30360
E. Accessible safe and affordable health care services/facilities for low-income people created or saved from reduction or elimination	15	85	527
F. Accessible safe and affordable child care or child development placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	24	6489	23331
G. Accessible before school and after school program placement opportunities for low-income families created or saved from reduction or elimination	22	1351	3670
H. Accessible new or expanded transportation resources, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available to low-income people, including public or private transportation	19	252	2538
I. Accessible or increased educational and training placement opportunities, or those that are saved from reduction or elimination, that are available for low-income people in the community, including vocational, literacy, and life skill	25	171	1664

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.2

Community Quality of Life and Assets

The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by community action initiative or advocacy, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services, or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
A. Increases in community assets as a result of a change in law, regulation or policy, which results in improvements in quality of life and assets	11	107	574
B. Increase in the availability or preservation of community facilities.	23	113	194
C. Increase in the availability or preservation of community services to improve public health and safety.	30	375	614
D. Increase in the availability or preservation of commercial services within low-income neighborhoods.	8	8	7
E. Increase in or preservation of neighborhood quality-of-life resources.	21	1164	4476

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name:

Name of Local Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Pro

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.3

Community Engagement

The number of community members working with Community Action to improve conditions in the community

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Total Contribution by Community (#)
A. Number of community members mobilized by Community Action that participate in community revitalization and anti-poverty initiatives	12	1227
B. Number of volunteer hours donated to the agency (This will be ALL volunteer hours)	16	112737

National Performance Indicator 2.4

Employment Growth from ARRA Funds

The total number of jobs created or saved, at least in part by ARRA funds, in the community.

	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Jobs (#)
A. Jobs created at least in part by ARRA funds	43	891
B. Jobs saved at least in part by ARRA funds	30	409

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

National Performance Indicator 3.1

Community Enhancement through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of volunteer hours donated to Community Action	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Total Number of Volunteer Hours (#)
Total number of volunteer hours donated by LOW INCOME INDIVIDUALS to Community Action (This is ONLY the number of volunteer hours from individuals who are low-income)	21	263475

National Performance Indicator 3.2

Community Empowerment Through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of community action initiative to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Total Number of Low-Income People (#)
A. Number of low-income people participating in formal community organizations, government, boards or councils that provide input to decision-making and policy setting through community action efforts.	46	3437
B. Number of low-income people acquiring businesses in their community as a result of community action assistance.	13	124
C. Number of low-income people purchasing their own homes in their community as a result of community action assistance.	11	71
D. Number of low-income people engaged in non-governance community activities or groups created or supported by community action.	31	20167

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 4: Partnerships among supporters and providers of services to low-income people are achieved.

National Performance Indicator 4.1

Expanding Opportunities Through Community-Wide Partnerships

The number of organizations, both public and private, community action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Organizational Partnerships (#)
Non-Profit	36	891
Faith Based	54	1414
Local Government	26	226
State Government	15	53
Federal Government	10	25
For-Profit Business or Corporation	22	306
Consortiums/Collaboration	17	116
Housing Consortiums/Collaboration	13	29
School Districts	23	119
Institutions of post secondary education/training	23	69
Financial/Banking Institutions	11	34
Health Services Institutions	15	65
Statewide associations or collaborations	15	73
Total number of organizations CAAs work with to promote family outcomes	61	11044

3420

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 5: Agencies increase their capacity to achieve results

National Performance Indicator 5.1

Agency Development

The number of human capital resources available to Community Action that increase agency capacity to achieve family and community outcomes, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Resources In Agency (#)
Number of Certified Community Action Professionals (C-CAP)	1	5
Number of Nationally Certified ROMA Trainers	1	1
Number of Family Development Trainers	2	10
Number of Child Development Trainers	2	3
Number of Staff attending trainings	17	532
Number of board members attending trainings	5	43
Hours of staff in trainings	17	6894
Hours of board members in trainings	6	112

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.1

Independent Living

The number of vulnerable individuals receiving services from community action that maintain an independent living situation as a result of those services:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Vulnerable Individuals Living Independently (#)
A. Senior Citizens (seniors can be reported twice, once under Senior Citizens and again if they are disa	46	187762
B. Total Individuals with Disabilities	38	98603
0-17	5	362
18-54	9	621
55-over	9	1894

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.2

Emergency Assistance

The number of low-income individuals or families served by community action that sought emergency assistance and the percentage* of those households for which assistance was provided, including such services as:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number Seeking Assistance (#)	Number Receiving Assistance (#)
A. Emergency Food	57	5110830	5090185
B. Emergency fuel or utility payments funded by LIHEAP or other public and private funding sources	50	132288	130994
C. Emergency Rent or Mortgage Assistance	19	14628	13832
D. Emergency Car or Home Repair (i.e. structural, appliance, heating system, etc.)	6	1210	1210
E. Emergency Temporary Shelter	48	64000	59387
F. Emergency Medical Care	23	6479	6037
G. Emergency Protection from Violence	28	10514	10181
H. Emergency Legal Assistance	30	24085	23517
I. Emergency Transportation	37	25294	24860
J. Emergency Disaster Relief	9	20892	20891
K. Emergency Clothing	27	51021	50911

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.3

Child and Family Development

The number and percentage of all infants, children, youth, parents, and other adults participating in developmental or enrichment programs that achieve program goals, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Numberof Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Infant and Child 1. Infants and children obtain age appropriate immunizations, medical and dental care.	34	35321	32781	34356	104.8%
Infant and Child 2. Infant and child health and physical development are improved as a result of adequate nutrition.	32	159961	150751	151018	100.2%
Infant and Child 3. Children participate in pre-school activities to develop school readiness skills.	34	29159	27840	28673	103.0%
Infant and Child 4. Children who participate in pre-school activities are developmentally ready to enter Kindergarten or 1st Grade.	28	14313	12734	12812	100.6%
Youth 1. Youth improve health and physical development.	33	34761	30315	30947	102.1%
Youth 2. Youth improve social/emotional development.	39	19584	20358	17797	87.4%
Youth 3. Youth avoid risk-taking behavior for a defined period of time.	40	20560	18464	19282	104.4%
Youth 4. Youth have reduced involvement with criminal justice system.	27	9125	8939	8440	94.4%
Youth 5. Youth increase academic, athletic or social skills for school success	36	20016	16974	18073	106.5%
Adult 1. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved parenting skills.	38	21338	19343	19013	98.3%
Adult 2. Parents and other adults learn and exhibit improved family functioning skills.	36	28428	26049	26425	101.4%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 6: Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments.

National Performance Indicator 6.4

Family Supports (Seniors, Disabled and Caregivers)

Low-income people who are unable to work, especially seniors, adults with disabilities, and caregivers, for whom barriers to family stability are reduced or eliminated as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
A. Enrolled children in before or after school programs	3	597	597
B. Obtained care for child or other dependent	1	150	150
C. Obtained access to reliable transportation and/or driver's license	2	430	430
D. Obtained health care services for themselves or family member	3	227	219
E. Obtained and/or maintained safe and affordable housing	5	337	337
F. Obtained food assistance	6	4434	4709
G. Obtained non-emergency LIHEAP energy assistance	5	4851	4451
H. Obtained non-emergency WX energy assistance	2	81	3
I. Obtained other non-emergency energy assistance (State/local/private energy programs. DO NOT include LIHEAP or WX)	1	8	8

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

Goal 6:Low-income people, especially vulnerable populations, achieve their potential by strengthening family and other supportive environments

National Performance Indicator 6.5

Service Counts

The number of services provided to low-income individuals and/or families, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Services (#)
A. Food Boxes	9	78312
B. Pounds of Food	7	892952
C. Units of Clothing	4	848
D. Rides Provided	4	4780
E. Information and Referral Calls	7	5762

In the rows below, please include any additional indicators that were not captured above.

Attachment A

List of Eligible Entities

STATE: CA

FY 2009 CSBG IS Part 1, Section B: Eligible Entities

DUNS Number	Agency LEGAL Name (associated with the DUNS number)	Agency FULL Name (no abbreviations or acronyms)	Agency Acronym	Agency Street Address	City	Zip Code	Type of Eligible Entity	FY 2009 CSBG Award Amount	ARRA Award Amount
105920748	Amador Tuolumne Community Action Agency	Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency	ATCAA	935 South State Highway 49	Jackson	95642	Local Government	$ 254,217.00	$ 26,747.00
166764311	Alameda CountyTraining & Employment Board	Associated Community Action Program	ACAP	24100 Amador Street, 3rd Floor	Hayward	94544	Local Government	$ 571,226.00	$ -
76529924	Berkeley, City of	Berkeley Community Action Agency	Berkeley CAA	2180 Milvia Street, 2nd Floor	Berkeley	94704	Local Government	$ 259,646.00	$ 43,512.00
71859607	County of Mariposa	Calaveras-Mariposa Community Action Agency	Calaveras-Mariposa CAA	5200 Highway 49- North	Mariposa	95338	Local Government	$ 253,565.00	$ 17,166.00
60117272	California Human Development Corporation	California Human Development Corporation	CHDC	3315 Airway Drive	Santa Rosa	95403	Migrant/ Seasonal Farmworker	$ 1,435,882.00	$ 375,860.00
73356909	Campesinos Unidos, Inc.	Campesinos Unidos, Incoporated	CUI	1005 C Street	Brawley	92227	Private CAA	$ 360,827.00	$ 41,501.00
76317098	Center for Employment Training	Center for Employment Training	CET	701 Vine Street	San Jose	95110	Migrant/ Seasonal Farmworker	$ 1,867,927.00	$ 915,481.00
23079114	Central Valley Opportunity Center, Incorporated	Central Valley Opportunity Center, Incorporation	CVOC	6838 West Bridget Court	Winton	95388	Private CAA	$ 1,203,721.00	$ 584,946.00
195388855	City of Los Angeles	City of Los Angeles Community Development Department	Los Angeles City	1200 West 7th Street, 6th Floor	Los Angeles	90017	Local Government	$ 7,525,417.00	$ 171,907.00
137137977	Oakland, City of (INC)	City of Oakland, Department of Human Services	Oakland	Lionel J. Wilson Building 150 Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, Suite 4340	Oakland	94612	Local Government	$ 718,453.00	$ -
147541270	Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.	Community Action Agency of Butte County, Incorporated	Butte CAA	2255 Del Oro Avenue	Oroville	95965	Private CAA	$ 367,848.00	$ 39,612.00
93436137	Community Action Agency of San Mateo County, INC.	Community Action Agency of San Mateo County	San Mateo CAA	930 Brittan Avenue	San Carlos	94070	Private CAA	$ 382,439.00	$ -
39080585	Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County, Inc.	Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County, Incorporation	Santa Cruz Co.	406 Main Street, Suite 207	Santa Cruz	95076	Private CAA	$ 275,887.00	$ 134,742.00
98387178	Community Action Commission of Santa Barbara County	Community Action Commission of Santa Barbara County	Santa Barbara CAC	5638 Hollister Avenue, Suite 230	Goleta	93117	Private CAA	$ 517,729.00	$ 113,962.00
98325392	Community Action Marin	Community Action Marin	Marin Co. (CAM)	29 Mary Street	San Rafael	94901	Private CAA	$ 257,735.00	$ 99,289.00
119843340	Community Action of Napa Valley	Community Action of Napa Valley	Napa CAA	2310 Laurel Street, Suite 1	Napa	94559	Private CAA	$ 254,916.00	$ 58,054.00
93356061	Community Action of Ventura County, Inc	Community Action of Ventura County, Incorporation	Ventura Co.	621 Richmond Avenue	Oxnard	93030	Private CAA	$ 643,735.00	$ 23,562.00
72947617	Community Action Partnership of Kern	Community Action Partnership of Kern	Kern CAP	300 – 19th Street	Bakersfield	93301	Private CAA	$ 1,284,635.00	$ 247,888.00
34241133	Community Action Partnership of Madera County, Inc.	Community Action Partnership of Madera County, Incorporation	Madera CAP	1225 Gill Avenue	Madera	93637	Private CAA	$ 262,139.00	$ 61,033.00
39729835	CommunityAction Partnership of Orange County	Community Action Partnership of Orange County	Orange Co CAP	11870 Monarch	Garden Grove	92841	Private CAA	$ 2,719,513.00	$ 166,741.00
105920057	Riverside, County of	Community Action Partnership of Riverside County	Riverside CAP	2038 Iowa Avenue, Suite B-102	Riverside	92503	Local Government	$ 2,067,228.00	$ 331,789.00

144663296	Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino County	San Bernardino CAP	696 S. Tippecanoe Avenue	San Bernardino	92408	Private CAA	$ 2,474,575.00	$ 11,659.00
58901950	Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County,	Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County	SLO CAP	1030 Southwood Drive	San Luis Obispo	93401	Private CAA	$ 327,067.00	$ 174,443.00
146255596	Community Action Partnership of Solano JPA	Community Action Partnership of Solano	Solano CAP	1545 North Texas Street, Suite 301	Fairfield	94533	Private CAA	$ 294,455.00	$ 97,944.00
78769312	Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County	Sonoma CAP	1300 North Dutton Avenue	Santa Rosa	95401	Private CAA	$ 466,321.00	$ 115,971.00
N/A		Community Design Center	CDC	5 Thomas Mellon Circle, Suite 128	San Francisco	94134	Limited Purpose	$ 123,262.00	
91285288	Community Services and Employment Training, Incorporate	Community Services and Employment Training, Incorporation	CSET	312 N.W. 3rd Avenue	Visalia	93291	Private CAA	$ 813,511.00	$ 120,608.00
794080957	Contra Costa County (INC)	Contra Costa Employment and Human Services	Contra Costa Co.	40 Douglas Drive	Martinez	94553	Local Government	$ 678,136.00	$ 5,552.00
139709260	Los Angeles, County of	County of Los Angeles Department of Public Social Services	Los Angeles Co	12900 Crossroads Parkway South	Los Angeles	90020	Local Government	$ 6,873,724.00	$ 48,238.00
827804530	Placer County-Adult System of Care	County of Placer Department of Health and Human Services	Placer Co	11519 B Avenue	Auburn	95603	Local Government	$ 257,060.00	$ -
144734931	San Diego, County of	County of San Diego, Health and Human Services Agency, Community Action Partnership	San Diego Co.	1255 Imperial Avenue, Suite 743	San Diego	92101	Local Government	$ 3,179,323.00	$ 34,587.00
73770646	Yolo, County of	County of Yolo, Department of Employment and Social Services	Yolo Co.	25 North Cottonwood Street	Woodland	95695	Local Government	$ 279,865.00	$ 42,411.00
153810163	Del Norte Senior Center, Inc.	Del Norte Senior Center	Del Norte	1765 Northcrest Drive	Crescent City	95531	Private CAA	$ 134,255.00	$ 6,696.00
34287797	Economic Opportunity Council of San Francisco, INC	Economic Opportunity Council of San Francisco	San Francisco EOC	1426 Fillmore Street, Suite 301	San Francisco	94115	Private CAA	$ 813,511.00	
965067382	El Dorado County	El Dorado County Department of Human Services	El Dorado Co.	937 Spring Street	Placerville	95667	Local Government	$ 255,475.00	$ 26,534.00
787910546	Foothill Unity Center, Inc.	Foothill Unity Center, Incorporated	Foothill	415 West Chestnut	Monrovia	91016	Local Government	$ 361,216.00	$ 119,021.00
78788023	Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	Fresno County Economic Opportunities Commission	Fresno CAA	1920 Mariposa Mall, Suite 300	Fresno	93721	Private CAA	$ 2,084,753.00	$ 52,717.00
797375367	Glenn County Human Resource Agency	Glenn County Human Resource Agency	Glenn HRA	420 East Laurel Street	Willows	95988	Local Government	$ 284,886.00	$ 22,200.00
139343693	Inyo Mono Community Action, Inc.	Inyo Mono Advocates for Community Action, Incoporated	IMACA	224 South Main Street	Bishop	93515	Private CAA	$ 254,052.00	$ -
145307930	Karuk Tribe of California	Karuk Tribe of California	Karuk	64236 Second Ave	Happy Camp	96039	Tribal Organization	$ 106,154.00	$ 21,566.00
95635413	Kings Community Action Organization, Incorporated	Kings Community Action Organization, Incorporated	Kings CAO	1130 N. 11th Avenue	Hanford	93230	Private CAA	$ 260,555.00	$ 48,345.00
N/A		La Cooperativa Campesina de California	LA COOP	7801 Folsom Blvd.,Suite 365	Sacramento	95826	Migrant/ Seasonal Farmworker	$ 303,555.00	
14651850	Lake County Community Action Agency	Lake County Community Action Agency	Lake Co	15312 Lakeshore Drive	Clearlake	95422	Private CAA	$ 254,986.00	$ -
139610047	Plumas, County of	Lassen/Plumas/Sierra Community Action Agency	Lassen/Plumas/ Seirra CAA	183 West Main Street	Quincy	95971	Local Government	$ 253,239.00	$ 1,889.00
99454761	Long Beach Community Services Development Corporation	Long Beach Community Services Development Corporation, Incorporation	Long Beach	780 Atlantic Avenue, Third Floor	Long Beach	90813	Private CAA	$ 971,792.00	$ 241,871.00
98620180	Los Angeles, County of	Los Angeles City/County Native American Indian Commission of Los Angeles	Los Angeles Indian Council	3175 West Sixth Street, Room 403	Los Angeles	90020	Tribal Organization	$ 383,379.00	$ -
132793340	Merced Community Action Board	Merced County Community Action Agency	Merced CAA	1748 Miles Court, Suite B	Merced	95344	Private CAA	$ 423,114.00	$ 165,482.00
395587675	County of Siskiyou	Modoc-Siskiyou Community Action Agency	Modoc-Siskiyou	818 South Main Street	Yreka	96097	Local Government	$ 254,986.00	$ 9,888.00

611675901	Monterey County of	Monterey County Community Action Partnership	Monterey CAP	1000 South Main Street, Suite 301	Salinas	93901	Local Government	$ 503,180.00	$ 131,960.00
10979029	County of Nevada	Nevada County Department of Housing and Community	Nevada Co	950 Maidu Avenue	Nevada	95959	Local Government	$ 253,635.00	$ -
89187264	North Coast Opportunites, Inc.	North Coast Opportunities	North Coast	413 North State Street	Ukiah	95482	Private CAA	$ 294,340.00	$ 35,234.00
32129983	Northern Calif.Indian Dvlpmnt CNL	Northern California Indian Development Council, Incorporation	NCIDC	241 F Street	Eureka	95501	Tribal Organization	$ 1,961,078.00	$ 423,578.00
145727889	Proteus, Inc.	Proteus, Incorporation	Proteus	1830 North Dinuba Blvd.	Visalia	93279	Migrant/ Seasonal Farmworker	$ 2,229,462.00	$ 220,595.00
120803853	Redwood Community Action Agency	Redwood Community Action Agency	Redwood CAA	904 G Street	Eureka	95501	Private CAA	$ 340,281.00	$ 24,892.00
093587368	Rural Community Assistance Corporation	Rural Community Assistance Corporation	RCAC	3120 Freeboard Drive, Suite 201	West Sacramento	95691	Limited Purpose	$ 138,053.00	
542432667	Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	Sacramento Employment and Training Agency	SETA	925 Del Paso Boulevard, Suit 100	Sacramento	95815	Local Government	$ 1,595,188.00	$ 332,099.00
556253680	Sacred Heart Community Service	Sacred Heart Community Service	Sacred Heart	1381 South First Street	San Jose	95110	Local Government	$ 1,169,422.00	$ 116,288.00
784683757	San Benito, County of	San Benito County Department of Community Services and Workforce	San Benito Co.	1111 San Felipe Road, Suite 108	Hollister	95023	Local Government	$ 252,586.00	$ 4,501.00
87226056	San Joaquin, County of	San Joaquin County Department of Aging, Children and Community Services	San Joaquin Co.	102 South San Joaquin Street	Stockton	95201	Local Government	$ 912,105.00	$ 289,703.00
784719940	Shasta, County of	Shasta County Community Action Agency	Shasta Co	1450 Court Street, Suite 108	Redding	96001	Local Government	$ 262,162.00	$ 16,790.00
830644717	Sutter County Community Action Agency	Sutter County Community Action Agency	Sutter Co.	938 14th Street	Marysville	95901	Private CAA	$ 255,965.00	$ 32,450.00
803593529	Community Action Agency/Housing Authority Of Tehama Cou	Tehama County Community Action Agency	Tehema Co.	310 South Main Street	Red Bluff	96080	Local Government	$ 260,706.00	$ -
830641663	Yuba County Community Services Comission	Yuba County Community Services Commission	Yuba Co.	915 8th Street, Suite 130	Marysville	95901	Local Government	$ 256,036.00	$ 15,009.00

Attachment B

Additional National Performance Indicators

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.1

Employment

The number and percentage of low-income participants in community action employment initiatives who get a job or become self-employed as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program					
Unemployed or employed and received referrals for jobs or better paying jobs	1	492	839	839	100.0%
Received training that will allow for self employment	1	191	80	80	100.0%
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Sonoma County					
Membership on the board of directors or advisory council for the WorkForce Investment Board	1	1	1	1	100.0%
Implement community dialogue regarding green sector jobs for low income people	1	1	1	1	100.0%
Agency Reporting: Foothill Unity Center, Inc.					
Secured temporary job/training	1	30	30	30	100.0%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.2

Employment Supports

The number of low-income participants for whom barriers to initial or continuous employment are reduced or eliminated through assistance from community action as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program			
Completed a course to overcome domestic violence, substance abuse, and anger management issues.	1	525	525
Completed a parenting course for fathers or mothers	1	90	90
Agency Reporting: California Human Development Corp.			
Individuals Completing Naturalization applications	1	2265	2265
Individuals Assisted with Matricula consular applications	1	2082	2082
Agency Reporting: Center for Employment Training			
Enrolled in Citizenship Classes	1	163	99
Enrolled in English-as-a-Second-Language	1	1156	469
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Orange County			
United Way Self-sufficiency program to provide case management support to low-income families moving out of poverty	1	122	25
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of San Bernardino Count			
CAPSBC provided Non-emergency LIHEAP/Weatherization Assistance.	1	9642	9642
Agency Reporting: Foothill Unity Center, Inc.			
Secure appropriate clothing for job interview or employment	1	47	47
Agency Reporting: Karuk Tribe of California			
Assist students with advocacy and guidance who are attending college in the higher education program	1	150	150
Agency Reporting: Long Beach Community Services Development Corp., I			
Obtained non-emergency legal services or other employment supports	1	73	73
Agency Reporting: Monterey County Community Action Partnership			
Completed pre-ABE/GED skills in Spanish	1	20	15
Information and Referral/Completion of forms	1	16437	16437
Agency Reporting: Northern California Indian Development Council, Inc.			
Supportive Services such as tools, boots, uniforms, dues, or fees associated with employment	1	39	39
Supportive Services such as uniforms, boots, tools, dues, fees, etc.	1	586	586
Agency Reporting: Sacramento Employment and Training Agency			
Rental Assistance	1	25	25
Obtained employment related identification documents	1	1198	1198
Obtained employment necessitated motel voucher	1	2	2
Agency Reporting: Sacred Heart Community Service			
Obtained job appropiate clothing for interviews and employment	1	938	489
Agency Reporting: San Benito County Department of Community Services			
Obtain PG&E care program assistance	1	50	50
Agency Reporting: Sutter County Community Action Agency			
Enrolled in Pst secondary educatin			1

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 1: Low-income people become more self sufficient.

National Performance Indicator 1.3

Economic Asset Enhancement and Utilization

The number and percentage of low-income households that achieve an increase in financial assets and/or financial skills as a result of community action assistance, and the aggregated amount of those assets and resources for all participants achieving the outcome, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Participants Enrolled in Program(s) (#)	Number of Participants Expected to Achieve Outcome in Reporting period (Target) (#)	Number of Participants Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (#)	Aggregated Dollar Amounts (Payments, Credits or Savings)	Percentage Achieving Outcome in Reporting Period (%)
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program						
Number with improved credit rating	1	25	25	25		100.0%
Number that obtained an account at a financial	1	52	52	52		100.0%
Agency Reporting: City of Oakland Department of Human Services						
Number receiving financial education through IDA	1	35	35	26	$2,193.00	74.3%
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Orange County						
Increasing Household home energy efficiency/conservation	1	869	700	700	$386,500.00	100.0%
Agency Reporting: Kings Community Action Organization, Inc.						
No tracking after 90 days, budget information	1	54	54	30		55.6%

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.1

Community Improvement and Revitalization

Increase in, or safeguarding of, threatened opportunities and community resources or services for low-income people in the community as a result of community action projects/ initiatives or advocacy with other public and private agencies, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Projects or Initiatives (#)	Number of Opportunities and/or Community Resources Preserved or Increased (#)
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of San Luis Obispo County			
Preserve dependent care slots	1	25	25

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 2: The conditions in which low-income people live are improved.

National Performance Indicator 2.2

Community Quality of Life and Assets

The quality of life and assets in low-income neighborhoods are improved by community action initiative or advocacy, as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Program Initiatives or Advocacy Efforts (#)	Number of Community Assets, Services, or Facilities Preserved or Increased (#)
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program			
Increase in access to asset development and financial literacy training	1	126	126
Agency Reporting: City of Oakland Department of Human Services			
Provide legal services against unlawful housing practices, discrimination, domestic violence, and benefits termination	1	1	1
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Kern			
Increase the availability and maintenance of social service programs-2-1-1 Kern County (Information & Referral Program)	1	1	24040
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Riverside County			
These numbers represent our Cool Center program (Public Health) Project B.U.R.D. -Disaster preparedness Training/Awareness (Saftey).	1	3	62

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 3: Low-income people own a stake in their community.

National Performance Indicator 3.2

Community Empowerment Through Maximum Feasible Participation

The number of low-income people mobilized as a direct result of community action initiative to engage in activities that support and promote their own well-being and that of their community as measured by one or more of the following:	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Total Number of Low-Income People (#)
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program		
Number of participants in financial literacy seminars	1	303
Agency Reporting: Central Valley Opportunity Center, Inc.		
Public Education - Number of migrant and seasonal farmworker clients attending public educations workshops with topics covering a varitey of social issues.	1	1725
Information and Referrral - Number of migrant and seasonal farmworker clients receiving I & R services which results in increased access to needed social services	1	3215
Agency Reporting: Community Action Partnership of Orange County		
Number of low-incomepeople engaged in community activities supported by agency programs (Homebuyer Fair, Healthe Fairs, etc.)	1	96482

Part II: Outcomes of Efforts, FY 2009

National Performance Indicators

State Name: California

Goal 4: Partnerships among supporters and providers of services to low-income people are achieved.

National Performance Indicator 4.1

Expanding Opportunities Through Community-Wide Partnerships The number of organizations, both public and private, community action actively works with to expand resources and opportunities in order to achieve family and community outcomes.	Eligible Entities Reporting (#)	Number of Organizational Partnerships (#)
Agency Reporting: Amador-Tuolumne Community Action Agency		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	1164
Agency Reporting: Associated Community Action Program		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	125
Agency Reporting: Calaveras/Mariposa Community Action Agency		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	107
Agency Reporting: California Human Development Corp.		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	372
Agency Reporting: Campesinos Unidos, Inc.		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	54
Agency Reporting: Center for Employment Training		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	36
Agency Reporting: Central Valley Opportunity Center, Inc.		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	32
Agency Reporting: City of Los Angeles, Community Development Department		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	224
Agency Reporting: City of Oakland Department of Human Services		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	64
Agency Reporting: Community Action Agency of Butte County, Inc.		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	135
Agency Reporting: Community Action Board of Santa Cruz County, Inc.		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	120
Agency Reporting: Community Action of Napa		
Number of organizations community action agencies work with to expand family and community outcomes	1	58